

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated April 15, 2008. Claims 1, 3 to 8, 10, 13 and 14 remain in the application, of which Claims 1, 13 and 14 are independent. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for finding the previously-presented arguments persuasive and withdrawing the § 103 rejections. In addition, Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the indication that Claims 1, 3 to 8, 10, 13 and 14 would be allowable if they are amended to overcome substantive rejections under § 112.

In this regard, Claims 1, 3 to 8, 10, 13 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. In particular, the Office Action alleged that the features of “displaying the client terminal on the display” and “among client terminals which are displayed on the display unit” are not described in the specification. However, the Office Action admitted that the specification does describe displaying information of the client terminal on the display, and displaying a “list of information of the client terminals 7, 8, 9 and 10 connected over the wireless to itself on the display unit 5 of the access point 1 (step S3). (page 12, line 27 to page 13, line 3. In view of the foregoing admission, the claims have been amended for better clarity and to recite that the display unit of the access point displays “information of” the client terminal(s). Thus, the claims are believed to fully comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, and as such, are believed to be allowable.

Inasmuch as the amendments presented herein address formal matters, this Amendment is believed to fully comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.116. Therefore, Applicant submits that this Amendment should be entered and the application passed to allowance.

No other matters having been raised, the entire application is believed to be in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Edward Kmett/

Edward A. Kmett
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 42,746

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-2200
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FCHS_WS 2197427v1